Complete One-Loop Corrections to $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ for Different Scenarios

S. M. Seif[1] , T.A. Azim[1]
[1]*Faculty of Science, Physics Department, Cairo University, Giza, Egypt.*
(Dated: November 20, 2014)

Abstract

In the present work, the full one-loop corrections to the production of a light neutral minimal supersymmetric standard model Higgs boson (h^0) with a pair of lightest neutralinos ($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$) in e^+e^- collisions within the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM) are presented. The details of the renormalization scheme used are presented. Our results also include the QED corrections as well as the weak corrections. It is found that the contribution from the weak and QED corrections is significant and needs to be taken into account in the future linear collider experiments. Numerical results for two different SUSY scenarios —Higgsino and Gaugino scenarios— for $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ are given.

PACS numbers:

arXiv:1412.0109v2 [hep-ph] 29 Sep 2015

1 Introduction

One of the main goals of the Tevatron and the LHC experimental programs was to detect a Higgs boson. On the 4th of July 2012, the CMS and the ATLAS experimental teams at the LHC, announced independently, that they both discovered a previously unknown boson of mass between 125 and 127 GeV [1, 2, 3], whose behavior so far is "consistent with" a Higgs boson, and it is confirmed likely, on March 2013, to be a Higgs boson, although yet it is unclear which model best supports the particle or whether multiple Higgs bosons exist. This discovery has impact on the search for particles such as neutralino [4]. Supersymmetry (SUSY) is a novel space-time symmetry between bosons and fermions. In realistic models, SUSY is broken at the weak scale implying that all Standard Model (SM) particles must have superpartners with masses in the range $\sim 100 - 1000$ GeV that will be accessible to colliders. In the Minimal Supersymmetric Standard Model (MSSM), there are as many as five Higgs mass states: two scalars, h^0 and H^0, a pseudo scalar, A^0 and a pair of charged bosons, $H^\pm$, which makes the experimental search more involved. The couplings of the Higgs bosons to the SUSY scalar fermions $\tilde{f}$ to the charginos $\tilde{\chi}^\pm$ and neutralinos $\tilde{\chi}^0$ depend on the soft–SUSY breaking parameters and therefore carry information on the fundamental SUSY theory.

Since the mass of neutralinos are among the precision observables with lots of information on the SUSY-breaking structure, the relations between the particle masses and the SUSY parameters are important theoretical quantities for precision calculations. In (MSSM) [5], one has four neutralinos $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$-$\tilde{\chi}_4^0$, which are the fermion mass eigenstates of the supersymmetric partners of the photon, the Z^0 boson, and the neutral Higgs bosons $H_{1,2}^0$. Their mass matrix depends on the parameters M_1, M_2, μ, and $\tan\beta$, where M_1 and M_2 the SU(2) and U(1) gauge mass parameter, $\tan\beta = v_1/v_2$ with $v_{1,2}$ the vacuum expectation values of the two neutral Higgs doublet fields. If supersymmetry is realized in nature, neutralinos should be found in the next generation of high energy experiments at Tevatron, LHC [6] and a future e^+e^- collider. Especially at a linear e^+e^- collider, it will be possible to perform measurements with high precision [7, 8].

In view of the experimental prospects, it is inevitable to include higher–order terms in the calculation of the measured quantities in order to achieve theoretical predictions matching the experimental accuracy. Former studies on chargino–pair production [9, 10, 11] and scalar–quark decays [12] have revealed that the Born–level predictions can be influenced significantly by one–loop radiative corrections.

In this paper, we use on-shell renormalization scheme in the loop calculations of the Higgs and neutralino sectors of the CP-conserving MSSM. The calculation was performed using the FeynArts and FormCalc computer packages. All the renormalization constants, required to determine the various counterterms for the Higgs, neutralino and other sectors, being implemented in the MSSM version of FeynArts [13] for completion at the one-loop level. The resulting amplitudes were algebraically simplified using FormCalc and then converted to a FORTRAN program. The LoopTools package was used to evaluate the one-loop scalar and tensor integrals [14].

The paper is arranged as follows: The analytical calculations of the Born cross section to the $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ process is given in section 2, where some numerical results are shown. The virtual, the electroweak, and the soft photonic corrections are studied in section 3. The numerical results are presented in section 4. Finally, the conclusions are given in section 5.

2 Treee Level

The total cross section at the tree level for the process of production of the Higgs bosons in association with a neutralino-pair

$$e^+(p_1) + e^-(p_2) \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0(p_3) + \tilde{\chi}_1^0(p_4) + h^0(p_5)$$

can be written as:

$$\sigma^0(e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0) = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{4|\overline{p}_1|\sqrt{s}} \int \sum_{spins} |\mathcal{M}_0|^2 d\Phi_3. \quad (1)$$

The integration is performed over the three-body phase space of the final state. The three-body phase space element $d\Phi_3$ is read as

$$d\Phi_3 = \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - \sum_{i=3}^{5} p_i) \prod_{j=3}^{5} \frac{d^3P_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j},$$

from which we get

$$\sigma^0 = \frac{|\mathcal{M}_0|^2}{16(2\pi)s}\{\delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - p_5)\} \left\{ \frac{d^3p_3}{E_3}\frac{d^3p_4}{E_4}\frac{d^3p_5}{E_5} \right\}, \quad (2)$$

where p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 and p_5 are the four-momenta of the incoming and the outgoing particles, respectively. $|\mathcal{M}_0|^2$ is the square of amplitudes corresponding to the Feynman diagrams in Fig. 1 and $s = (p_1 + p_2)^2$ is the square of the total energy in the centre of mass system. The Feynman diagrams contributing to the production of the lightest CP–even Higgs boson in association with neutralino pairs is shown in Fig. 1. The diagrams where the h^0 boson is emitted from the electron and positron lines give negligible contributions. A first class of contributions (a) is formed by diagrams where the Higgs boson is emitted from the neutralino states, the latter being produced through s–channel Z boson exchange and left– and right–handed selectron exchange. A second class (b) is formed by the Higgs–strahlung production process, where the Z boson is virtual and splits into two neutralinos. Finally, a third class (c) consists of the diagrams where the Higgs boson is emitted from the internal selectron lines. The cross section will therefore depend on the h^0 boson couplings to both the neutralinos and sleptons [15]. In the MSSM, the Higgs boson couplings to the neutralinos $\tilde{\chi}^0_{(1,\cdots,4)}$ depend on $\tan\beta$, the higgsino parameter μ and the gaugino —bino and wino— mass parameters M_1 and M_2.



Figure 1: The lowest order (LO) Feynman diagrams for the $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ process.

As clarified in [16, 17], all Higgs bosons in the MSSM, except the lightest CP–even one, are too heavy to play an important role in both the current and the near future experiments. Therefore, the present study concentrates on the lightest Higgs boson h^0 only. The LO cross-section is studied for the process $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ as a function of the light neutral Higgs boson mass m_{h^0}. From the interaction Lagrangian [18, 19]

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{Z^0\bar{e}e} &= -\frac{g}{\cos\theta_W} Z^0_\mu \bar{e}\gamma^\mu [C_L P_L + C_R P_R] e, \\
\mathcal{L}_{Z^0\tilde{\chi}_i^0\tilde{\chi}_j^0} &= \frac{g}{2\cos\theta_W} Z^0_\mu \bar{\tilde{\chi}}_i^0 \gamma^\mu [O''^L_{ij} P_L + O''^R_{ij} P_R] \tilde{\chi}_j^0, \\
\mathcal{L}_{e\tilde{e}\tilde{\chi}_i^0} &= g f_i^L \bar{e} P_R \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{e}_L + g f_i^R \bar{e} P_L \tilde{\chi}_i^0 \tilde{e}_R + h.c., \\
\mathcal{L}_{h^0\tilde{\chi}_i^0\tilde{\chi}_j^0} &= -\frac{g}{2} h^0 \cos\alpha \bar{\tilde{\chi}}_i^0 [Q''^*_{ij} P_L + Q''_{ij} P_R] \tilde{\chi}_j^0 \\
&\quad + \frac{g}{2} h^0 \sin\alpha \bar{\tilde{\chi}}_i^0 [S''^*_{ij} P_L + S''_{ij} P_R] \tilde{\chi}_j^0, \\
\mathcal{L}_{h^0ZZ} &= \frac{g m_Z}{2\cos\theta_W} Z_\mu Z^\mu h^0 \cos(\beta - \alpha), \\
\mathcal{L}_{h^0\tilde{e}\tilde{e}} &= \frac{g m_Z}{\cos\theta_W} h^0 \left[(I^{3L} - e_{\tilde{e}} \sin^2\theta_W) \tilde{e}^*_L \tilde{e}_L + e_{\tilde{e}} \sin^2\theta_W \tilde{e}^*_R \tilde{e}_R \right]
\end{aligned}$$

one obtains the following couplings:

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{L,R} &= I^{3L,R} + \sin^2\theta_W, \quad I^{3L} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad I^{3R} = 0. \\
O''^L_{ij} &= -O''^{R*}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} N_{i3} N^*_{j3} + N_{i4} N^*_{j4}. \\
f_i^L &= -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \left(\tan\theta_W N_{i1} + N_{i2} \right), \quad f_i^R = \sqrt{2} \tan\theta_W N^*_{i1}. \\
g Q''_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} [N_{i3}(g N_{j2} - g' N_{i1}) + \sqrt{2} h^* N_{i4} N_{j5} + (i \leftrightarrow j)]. \\
g S''_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2} [N_{i4}(g N_{j2} - g' N_{i1}) + \sqrt{2} h^* N_{i3} N_{j5} + (i \leftrightarrow j)]. \\
R''_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2 m_W} [M^* N_{i2} N_{j2} + M'^* N_{i1} N_{j1} - M^* (N_{i3} N_{j4} + N_{i4} N_{j3})].
\end{aligned}$$



Figure 2: Vertex Corrections.



Figure 3: Box Corrections.



Figure 4: Propagator Corrections.

3 One-Loop Calculations

The linear electron-positron collider is considered to be the best environment for precise studies of supersymmetric models, especially for the MSSM. From precise measurements of masses and cross sections, the fundamental parameters of the MSSM Lagrangian can be reconstructed [20] to shed light on the mechanism of SUSY breaking. Adequate theoretical predictions matching the experimental accuracy require the proper inclusion of higher-order terms in the calculations of mass spectra, cross sections and decay rates.

The radiative corrections to the neutralino pair production with light neutral Higgs boson can be classified as the following generic structure of one-loop Feynman diagrams: The virtual vertex corrections Fig. 2, the box graph contributions to the propagators. Fig. 3, and the self-energy contributions Fig. 4. For the virtual particles inside loops, the complete supersymmetric spectrum is used. Self-energy and vertex diagrams contain IR and UV divergences. Box diagrams are ultraviolet finite, but have infrared singularities. The IR poles in the virtual corrections are eventually canceled by analogous singularities in the real corrections to the tree-level cross section. The UV divergences are renormalized by introducing a suitable set of counterterms for the renormalization of the coupling constants and the renormalization of the external wave functions.

As a calculational frame, the on-shell renormalization scheme has been chosen such that all particle masses are defined as pole masses, i.e., on-shell quantities. Thus, the cross sections are directly related to the physical masses of the external particles and the other particles entering the loops. The theoretical basis of the calculation is outlined in the following subsections, where the presentation is based on refs.[21, 22].

In this work, the complete set of Feynman graphs is calculated with help of the packages FeynArts-3.6, FormCalc-7.1, and LoopTools-2.7. We have implemented our renormalization procedure into these packages. The virtual correction suffers from both ultraviolet (UV) and infrared (IR) singularities. For a proper treatment of the appearing UV divergencies, counter terms are introduced in the on-shell renormalization scheme where all particle masses are defined as pole masses, i.e., on-shell quantities. To preserve supersymmetry, the used regularization scheme is dimensional reduction (DR). The loop graphs with virtual photon exchange also introduce IR singularities. Therefore, real photon emission has to be included to obtain a finite result.

$$\sigma^{corr}(e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0) = \sigma^{ren}(e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0) + \sigma(e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0\gamma).$$

3.1 Renormalization of Neutralino

The bilinear part of the Lagrangian describing the neutralino sector of the MSSM involves the μ parameter, the soft–breaking gaugino-mass parameters M_1 and M_2, and the Higgs vacua v_i, which are related to $\tan\beta = v_2/v_1$ and to the W mass $M_W = gv/2$ with $(v_1^2+v_2^2)^{1/2}$ [21, 22].

Renormalization constants are introduced for the neutralino mass matrix Y

.

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -M_Z s_W \cos\beta & M_Z s_W \sin\beta \\ 0 & M_2 & M_Z c_W \cos\beta & -M_Z c_W \sin\beta \\ -M_Z s_W \cos\beta & M_Z c_W \sin\beta & 0 & -\mu \\ M_Z s_W \cos\beta & -M_Z c_W \sin\beta & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and for the neutralino fields $\tilde{\chi}_i^0 (i = 1, \ldots, 4)$ by the following transformation

$$\begin{aligned} Y &\to Y + \delta Y, \\ \omega_L \tilde{\chi}_i^0 &\to (\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}[\delta Z_{\tilde{\chi}^0}]_{ij})\omega_L \tilde{\chi}_j^0, \\ \omega_R \tilde{\chi}_i^0 &\to (\delta_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}[\delta Z_{\tilde{\chi}^0}]^*_{ij})\omega_R \tilde{\chi}_j^0. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

The matrix δY consists of the counterterms for the following parameters in the mass matrix Y: the soft-breaking gaugino-mass parameters M_1 and M_2, the Higgsino mass parameter μ, $\tan\beta$, the Z mass and the electroweak mixing angle $s_W = \sin\theta_W$, $c_W = \cos\theta_W$. The matrix-valued renormalization constant $\delta Z_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$is a general complex 4×4 matrix.

Using the on-shell approach [22, 23], the pole mass of the neutralino, $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$, is considered as an input parameters to specify the neutralino Lagrangian in terms of physical quantities. This is equivalent to the specification of the parameters μ, M_1 and M_2, which are related to the input masses in the same way as in LO, as a consequence of the on-shell renormalization conditions, and to the definition of the respective counterterms. In this way, the tree-level masses $m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}$ as well as the counterterm matrix $\delta Z_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$, are fixed. Furthermore, one requires that the matrix of the renormalized one-particle-irreducible two-point vertex functions $\hat{\Gamma}_{ij}^{(2)}$ becomes diagonal for the on-shell external momenta. This fixes the non-diagonal entries of the field-renormalization matrix $\delta Z_{\tilde{\chi}^0}$; their diagonal entries are determined by normalizing the residues of the propagators to be unity.

3.2 Renormalization of Higgs Sector

As known the MSSM requires two Higgs doublets H_1 and H_2 with opposite hypercharge $Y_1 = -Y_2 = -1$. The quadratic part of the Higgs potential in the MSSM is given by:

$$V = m_1^2 H_1\bar{H}_1 + m_2^2 H_2\bar{H}_2 + m_{12}^2(\epsilon_{ab}H_1^a H_2^b + h.c.) + \frac{1}{8}(g_1^2+g_2^2)(H_1\bar{H}_1 - H_2\bar{H}_2)^2 - \frac{g_2^2}{2}|H_1\bar{H}_2|^2, \quad (4)$$

where m_{12}^2 is defined to be negative and $\epsilon_{12} = -\epsilon_{21} = -1$, with soft breaking parameters m_1^2, m_2^2, m_{12}^2 and g_1, g_2 are, respectiveley, SU(2) and U(1) gauge couplings. Decomposing each Higgs doublet field $H_{1,2}$ in terms of its components [23, 24],

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^1 \\ H_1^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (v_1 + \phi_1^0 - i\chi_1^0)/\sqrt{2} \\ -\phi_1^- \end{pmatrix}$$

$$H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^1 \\ H_2^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ (v_2 + \phi_2^0 - i\chi_2^0)/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5)$$

with vacuum expectation values v_1, v_2.

the Higgs potential (4) is diagonalized by the rotations

$$\begin{pmatrix} H^0 \\ h^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\alpha & \sin\alpha \\ -\sin\alpha & \cos\alpha \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^0 \\ \phi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^0 \\ A^0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & \sin\beta \\ -\sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1^0 \\ \chi_2^0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ H^+ \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos\beta & \sin\beta \\ -\sin\beta & \cos\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1^+ \\ \phi_2^+ \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

G^0, $G^\pm$ describe the unphysical Goldstone modes. The spectrum of physical states consists of: a light neutral CP-even state (h^0), a heavy neutral CP-even state (H^0), a neutral CP-odd state (A^0), and a pair of charged states ($H^\pm$).

The masses of the gauge bosons and the electromagnetic charge are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} M_Z^2 &= \frac{1}{4}(g_1^2+g_2^2)(v_1^2+v_2^2), \\ M_W^2 &= g_2^2(v_1^2+v_2^2), \\ e^2 &= \frac{g_1^2 g_2^2}{g_1^2+g_2^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus, the potential (4) contains two independent free parameters, which can conveniently be chosen as

$$\tan\beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}, M_A^2 = -m_{12}^2(\tan\beta + \cot\beta) \quad (8)$$

where M_A is the mass of the A^0 boson.

Expressed in terms of (8), the masses of the other physical states read:

$$\begin{aligned} m_{H^0,h^0}^2 &= \frac{1}{2}\left[M_A^2 + M_Z^2 \pm \sqrt{(M_A^2+M_Z^2)^2 - 4M_A^2 M_Z^2\cos^2 2\beta}\right] \\ m_{H^+}^2 &= M_A^2 + M_W^2, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

and the mixing angle α in the (H^0, h^0)-system is derived from

$$\tan 2\alpha = \tan 2\beta \frac{M_A^2 + M_Z^2}{M_A^2 - M_Z^2}, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \alpha \leq 0. \quad (10)$$

Hence, masses and couplings are determined by only a single parameter more than in the standard model.

The dependence on M_A is symmetric under $\tan\beta \leftrightarrow 1/\tan\beta$, and m_{h^0} is constrained by:

$$m_{h^0} < M_Z \cos 2\beta < M_Z. \quad (11)$$

This simple scenario, however, is changed when radiative corrections are taken into account.

The tree-level mass matrix m_0 of the neutral scalar system that represents bare mass system is diagonalized by (6). Loop contributions to the quadratic part of the potential (neglecting the q^2-dependence of the diagrams) modify the mass matrix

$$m_0 \to m_0 + \delta m = m \tag{12}$$

Re-diagonalizing the one-loop matrix m yields the corrected mass eigenvalues m_{H^0,h^0}, replacing (9), and an effective mixing angle α_{eff} instead of (10). The renormalization constants [23] are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_\mu &\to (Z_2^B)^{1/2} B_\mu, \\
W_\mu^a &\to (Z_2^W)^{1/2} W_\mu^a, \\
H_i &\to Z_{H_i}^{1/2} H_i, \\
\psi_j^L &\to \left(Z_L^j\right)^{1/2} \psi_j^L, \\
\psi_{j\sigma}^R &\to \left(Z_R^{j\sigma}\right)^{1/2} \psi_{j\sigma}^R, \\
g_2 &\to Z_1^W (Z_2^W)^{-3/2} g_2, \\
g_1 &\to Z_1^B (Z_2^B)^{-3/2} g_1, \\
v_i &\to Z_{H_i}^{1/2} (v_i - \delta v_i), \\
m_i^2 &\to Z_{H_i}^{-1} (m_i^2 + \delta m_i^2), \\
m_{12}^2 &\to Z_{H_1}^{-1/2} Z_{H_2}^{-1/2} (m_{12}^2 + \delta m_{12}^2).
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

The complete definitions and the explicit expressions of the renormalization constants of the other sectors: sfermion sector, MSSM parameters and fields including those of SM as the electric charge and the masses of W, Z, and the fermions and their counterterms in addition to $\tan\beta$, all these are treated as described in [23, 26, 27], to deliver all counterterms required for propagators and vertices appearing in the amplitudes.

3.3 Virtual Corrections

The set of Feynman diagrams in Fig. 1, has to be dressed by the corresponding loop contributions containing the full particle spectrum of the MSSM. The complete cross section at the one-loop level can be written as follows:

$$\sigma^{1-loop} = \sigma^0 + \sigma^{virt} \tag{14}$$

The virtual electroweak radiative correction to the cross section can be written as

$$\sigma^{virt} = \sigma^0 \Delta_{virt} = \frac{(2\pi)^4}{2|\overline{p}_1|\sqrt{s}} \int d\Phi_3 \sum_{spins} Re(\mathcal{M}_0^\dagger \mathcal{M}_{virt}) \tag{15}$$

where σ^0 and $\mathcal{M}_0$ are the cross section and amplitude at the tree-level for the $e^+e^- \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ process, respectively. $\mathcal{M}_{virt}$ is the renormalized amplitude involving all the one-loop electroweak Feynman diagrams and corresponding counter-terms.

3.4 Real Photonic Corrections

The soft (IR) divergences in the $\mathcal{M}_{virt}$ originate from the contributions of virtual photon exchange in loops [28]. These soft (IR) divergencies can be cancelled by the real photon bremsstrahlung corrections in the soft photon limit in which the cross-section for real photon emission [29] is proportional to the Born cross-section,

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{soft} = \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega}\right)_{Born} \delta_{soft} \tag{16}$$

where δ_{soft} is the QED correction factor from real bremsstra- hlung in the soft–photon approximation. The real photonic corrections are induced by the process

$$e^+(p_1) + e^-(p_2) \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0(p_3) + \tilde{\chi}_1^0(p_4) + h^0(p_5) + \gamma(k_\gamma)$$

where k_γ denotes the photon momentum.

A real photon radiates from the electron/ positron, and can have either soft or collinear nature. The collinear singularity is regularized by keeping electron (positron) mass. The general phase-space-slicing method (PSS) is adopted to separate the soft photon emission singularity from the real photon emission processes. By using this method, the bremsstrahlung phase space is divided into singular and non-singular regions by the soft photon cut off, ΔE, and the cross section of the real photon emission process is decomposed into soft and hard terms [30],

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{real} &= \sigma^{soft}(\Delta E) + \sigma^{hard}(\Delta E) \\
&= \sigma^0(\Delta_{soft} + \Delta_{hard}).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

where $\Delta_{soft} = \Delta_{weak}$ and $\Delta_{hard} = \Delta_{QED}$

The energy of the radiated photon in the center of mass system frame is considered as a soft term, Δ_{soft}, with radiated photon energy $k_\gamma^0 < \Delta E$, and a hard term, δ_{hard}, with $k_\gamma^0 > \Delta E$, where $k_\gamma^0 = \sqrt{|\vec{k}_\gamma|^2 + m_\gamma^2}$ and m_γ is the photon mass, which is used to regulate the (IR) divergences existing in the soft term. For practical calculations, σ^{hard} is divided into a collinear part, where the photon is within an angle smaller than $\Delta\theta$ with respect to the radiating particles, and the complementary non-collinear part [23],

$$\sigma^{hard} = \sigma^{coll}(\Delta\theta) + \sigma^{non-coll}(\Delta\theta). \tag{18}$$

$\sigma^{non-coll}$ is calculated numerically with the help of multidimensional numerical integration routines DIVONNE that based on Monte Carlo, and CUHRE, which are both part of the CUBA-library [31], while σ^{soft} and σ^{coll} are calculated analytically.

The weakness of the definition in Eq.(17) is the larg ΔE dependence of the weak and QED components

$\propto log(\frac{\Delta E^2}{s})$. However, the sum of both is cutoff independent. Therefore, we extract the ΔE terms and the leading logarithms $log(\frac{s}{m_e^2})$, caused by collinear soft photon emission, from the weak corrections and add them to the QED corrections [32]. According to this definition, both corrections are now ΔE independent. The main part of the QED corrections arises from these leading logarithms L_e, originating from photons in the beam direction. This leads to a large dependence on the experimental cuts and detector specifications. Therefore, We use the structure function formalism [33] and subtract the leading logarithmic $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ terms of the initial state radiation, $\sigma^{ISR,LL}$. After subtraction of these process independent terms, only the non-universal QED corrections remain. The total one-loop (renormalized) cross sectionσ^{1-loop} is expressed as:

$$\sigma^{1-loop} = \sigma^0 + \sigma^{virt} + \sigma^{weak} + \sigma^{QED},$$

where

$$\sigma^{weak} = \sigma^{soft} - \tilde{\sigma}.$$
$$\sigma^{QED} = \sigma^{hard} + \tilde{\sigma} - \sigma^{ISR,LL}.$$

with

$$\tilde{\sigma} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[(L_e - 1)\log\frac{4\Delta E^2}{s} + \frac{3}{2}L_e\right]\sigma^0,$$

$$\sigma^{ISR,LL} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi}L_e\int_0^1 dx\Phi(x)\,\sigma^0(sx),$$

$$\Phi(x) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\left\{\delta(1-x)\left[\frac{3}{2} + 2\log(\epsilon)\right] + \theta(1-x-\epsilon)\frac{1+x^2}{1-x}\right\}.$$

The integrated cross section at the one–loop level, can be written in the following way:

$$\sigma^{1-loop} = \sigma^0 + \sigma^0\Delta,$$

pointing out the relative correction

$$\Delta = \left(\sigma^{1-loop} - \sigma^0\right)/\sigma^0,$$

with respect to the Born cross section. The relative correction Δ can be decomposed into the following parts, indicating their origin,

$$\Delta = \Delta_{self} + \Delta_{vertex} + \Delta_{box} + \Delta_{QED} + \Delta_{weak}.$$

4 Numerical Results

In present work, two different scenarios are studied. In the higgsino scenario the neutralinos are both nearly pure higgsinos and therefore the process is dominated by the schannel Z_0 exchange. In the gaugino scenario with binos as $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ states, the selectron exchange diagrams play the most important role. In the following, we distinguish between the tree-level, the 1-loop corrections, and the conventional weak and QED corrections to the improved tree-level as discussed in the last section. For the SM input parameters the following values have been used $\alpha(m_Z) = 1/127.922$, $M_W = 80.399$ GeV, $M_Z = 91.1876$ GeV, $\sin^2\theta_W = 1 - m_W^2/m_Z^2$ $m_t = 174.3$ GeV, $m_b = 4.7$ GeV. M_2 is fixed by the gaugino unification relation $M_2 = \frac{5}{3}\tan^2\theta_W M_1$, and the gluino mass is related M_1 by $m_{\tilde{g}} = (\alpha_s(m_{\tilde{g}})/\alpha)\sin^2\theta_W M_1$. In the following numerical examples, we used SoftSusy code for the mass spectrum calculations of SUSY particles in the minimal supergravity (mSUGRA) framework. We consider two benchmark scenarios:

- for $\mu \ll M_2$, we have pure higgsino.
- for $M_2 \ll \mu$, we have pure gaugino.

the mass spectrum of the SUSY particles are set as shown in Tables 1 and 2 for Higgsino and Gaugino scenarios respectively. The free parameters that have been used in our calculations are specified as follows:

- For simplicity, in the sfermions sector, all soft-SUSY breaking parameters are assumed equal and all trilinear couplings are set to a common value A. The mixing between sfermion generations is neglected, $M_{SUSY} \equiv \tilde{M}_L \simeq \tilde{M}_R$.
- The MSSM Higgs sector is parametrized by the CP-odd mass, m_A, and $\tan\beta$, taking into account radiative corrections with the help of FormCalc.
- The chargino-neutralino sector is fixed by choosing a value for the gaugino-mass terms M_1, M_2 and for the Higgsino-mass term μ.

Numerical results for the radiative corrections to the $\tilde{\chi}_1^0\tilde{\chi}_1^0 h^0$ production are given in Figs. 5 and 6 for Higgsino scenario. The maximum value of the relative weak correction is about 28%, while that for QED correction is about -57% in the investigated parameters region. In Figs. 7 and 8, which are related to Gaugino scenario, The maximum value of the weak correction is about 24%, while that for QED correction is about -40% in the investigated parameters region. Thus, for two scenarios, the weak and QED corrections have to be taken into account in future experiments.

Table 1: The mass spectrum of the SUSY particles for Higgsino scenario

Particle	Mass/[GeV]	Particle	Mass/[GeV]
h^0	105.341	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	86.3240
H^0	700.275	$\tilde{\chi}_2^0$	111.646
A^0	700.000	$\tilde{\chi}_3^0$	200.218
$H^\pm$	704.600	$\tilde{\chi}_4^0$	416.025
$\tilde{g}$	1063.46	$\tilde{\nu}_e$	344.128
$\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$	99.2230	$\tilde{\nu}_\mu$	344.128
$\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$	416.032	$\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	342.105
$\tilde{e}_L$	352.582	$\tilde{e}_R$	353.214
$\tilde{\mu}_L$	352.519	$\tilde{\mu}_R$	353.277
$\tilde{\tau}_L$	349.346	$\tilde{\tau}_R$	356.424
$\tilde{u}_L$	345.881	$\tilde{u}_R$	348.268
$\tilde{d}_L$	350.860	$\tilde{d}_R$	354.925
$\tilde{c}_L$	345.667	$\tilde{c}_R$	348.485
$\tilde{s}_L$	350.853	$\tilde{s}_R$	354.932
$\tilde{t}_L$	281.995	$\tilde{t}_R$	469.650
$\tilde{b}_L$	343.316	$\tilde{b}_R$	362.288

Table 2: The mass spectrum of the SUSY particles for Gaugino scenario

Particle	Mass/[GeV]	Particle	Mass/[GeV]
h^0	110.985	$\tilde{\chi}_1^0$	91.5340
H^0	393.987	$\tilde{\chi}_2^0$	181.009
A^0	393.600	$\tilde{\chi}_3^0$	359.502
$H^\pm$	401.727	$\tilde{\chi}_4^0$	378.874
$\tilde{g}$	525.351	$\tilde{\nu}_e$	495.905
$\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$	180.516	$\tilde{\nu}_\mu$	495.905
$\tilde{\chi}_2^\pm$	379.562	$\tilde{\nu}_\tau$	493.614
$\tilde{e}_L$	501.812	$\tilde{e}_R$	502.257
$\tilde{\mu}_L$	501.586	$\tilde{\mu}_R$	502.483
$\tilde{\tau}_L$	495.440	$\tilde{\tau}_R$	508.551
$\tilde{u}_L$	497.123	$\tilde{u}_R$	498.787
$\tilde{d}_L$	500.591	$\tilde{d}_R$	503.475
$\tilde{c}_L$	497.107	$\tilde{c}_R$	498.807
$\tilde{s}_L$	500.557	$\tilde{s}_R$	503.508
$\tilde{t}_L$	504.356	$\tilde{t}_R$	548.374
$\tilde{b}_L$	484.474	$\tilde{b}_R$	519.044

The parameters for the Higgsino Scenarioare set as: $\{M_2,\ \mu,\ A,\ \tan\beta,\ m_A,\ M_{SUSY}\}$= {400 GeV, −100 GeV, 400 GeV, 10, 700 GeV, 350 GeV}, with SUSY mass spectrum shown in table 1.

The parameters for the Gaugino scenario are set as: $\{M_2,\ \mu,\ A,\ \tan\beta,\ m_A,\ M_{SUSY}\}$= {197.6 GeV, 353.1 GeV, −100 GeV, 10.2, 393.6 GeV, 500 GeV}, with SUSY mass spectrum shown in table 2.



Figure 5: Total cross section as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in the Higgsino scenario.



Figure 6: Relative corrections as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in the Higgsino scenario.

Table 3: The maximum cross section in Higgsino scenario.

	$(\sigma)_{max}$/Pb	$\sqrt{s}$/GeV
Born	2.82×10^{-6}	700
1–loop	3.28×10^{-6}	700
QED	1.15×10^{-6}	650
Weak	3.51×10^{-6}	700

Table 4: The maximum cross section in Gaugino scenario.

	$(\sigma)_{max}$/Pb	$\sqrt{s}$/GeV
Born	8.59×10^{-8}	1050
1–loop	1.21×10^{-7}	1000
QED	5.65×10^{-8}	850
Weak	1.05×10^{-7}	1000



Figure 7: Total cross section as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in the Gaugino scenario.



Figure 8: Relative corrections as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in the Gaugino scenario.

5 Conclusions

The full one-loop corrections to the lightest neutralino pair production with light neutral Higgs boson in e^+e^- collisions have been calculated. The calculation was performed in an analytical way with an independent check using the FeynArts and FormCalc computer packages. The chosen renormalization scheme can be used for the complete MSSM parameter space. A particular attention is paid to an appropriate definition of the weak and QED corrections. We extracted the non-universal QED corrections by subtracting the initial state radiation (ISR). The full one-loop corrections are in the range of 12-20% for Higgsino scenario, and of 40-70% for Gaugino scenario, thus they have to be taken into account in future linear collider experiments. The maximum cross sections are presented in Tables 3 and 4 for both scenarios.

Acknowledgments

We would like to express our sincere gratitude to our advisor Pro. Dr. M. Khaled Hegab, and our thanks to Pro. Dr. Samiha Abou Stiet for revising the paper.

References

[1] A. Cho, Science **337**, 141 (2012)

[2] The ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B **716**, 1 (2012)

[3] The ATLAS Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D **86**, 032003 (2012)

[4] C.Beskidt, W.de Boer, D.I.Kazakov. arXiv:1402.4650 [hep-ph]

[5] H. P. Nilles, Phys. Rep. **110**, 1 (1984); H. E. Haber and G. L. Kane, Phys. Rep. **117**, 75 (1985); R. Barbieri, Riv. Nuovo. Cim. **11**, 1 (1988)

[6] The ATLAS Collaboration. arXiv:1403.5294 [hep-ex]

[7] J.A. Aguilar et al., in *TESLA Technical Design Report, Part III: Physics at an e^+e^- Linear Collider, 2001*, ed. by R. D. Heuer, D. Miller, F. Richard, and P. M. Zerwas. arXiv:hep-ph/0106315

[8] C. Adolphsen et al. (International Study Group Collaboration), in *International study group progress report on linear collider development, 2000*, SLAC-R-559 and KEKREPORT-2000-7

[9] M. A. Diaz, S. F. King, D. A. Ross, Nucl. Phys. B **529**, 23 (1998)

[10] S. Kiyoura, M. M. Nojiri, D. M. Pierce, Y. Yamada, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 075002 (1998)

[11] T. Blank, W. Hollik, Eur. Phys .J. C **24**, 619 (2002). arXiv:hep-ph/0011092

[12] J. Guasch, W. Hollik, J. Solà, Phys. Lett. B **510**, 211 (2001)

[13] T. Hahn, C. Schappacher, Comp. Phys. Commun. **143**, 54 (2002)

[14] T. Hahn, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. B **89**, 231 (2000); G. J. van Olden-borgh, J. A. M. Vermaseren, Z. Phys. C **46**, 425 (1990)

[15] A. Datta, A. Djouadi, J. L. Kneur, Phys.Lett. B **509**, 299 (2001). arXiv:hep-ph/0101353

[16] K. Nakamura, J. Phys. G: Nucl. Part. Phys. **37**, 075021 (2010)

[17] G. K. Egiiyan, M. Jurcisin, D. I. Kazakov, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **14**, 601 (1999). arXiv:hep-ph/9807411

[18] J. F. Gunion, H. E. Haber, Nucl. Phys. B **272**, 1 (1986)

[19] H. E. Haber, G.L. Kane, Phys. Rep. B **117**, 75 (1985)

[20] M. Jurcisin, D. I. Kazakov, Mod., Phys. Lett. A **14**, 671 (1999). arXiv:hep-ph/9902290

[21] S.Y. Choi et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 535 (2000). arXiv:hep-ph/0002033; G. Moortgat-Pick et al., Eur. Phys. J. C **22**, 563 (2001). arXiv:hep-ph/0108117

[22] T. Fritzsche, W. Hollik, Eur. Phys. J. C **24**, 619 (2002). arXiv:hep-ph/0203159

[23] T. Fritzsche and W. Hollik, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. B **135**, 102 (2004). arXiv:hep-ph/0407095; T. Fritzsche, in *The International Conference on Linear Colliders, Paris, 2004*. arXiv:hep-ph/0408307

[24] A. Dabelstein, Z. Phys. C **67**, 495 (1995). arXiv:hep-ph/9409375

[25] A. Farzinnia, H. He, J. Ren, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 141 (2013). arXiv:hep-ph/ 1308.0295

[26] W. Hollik, H. Rzehak, Eur. Phys. J. C **32**, 127 (2003). arXiv:hep-ph/0305328

[27] A. Denner, Fortschr. Phys. **41**, 307 (1993)

[28] G.'t Hooft and M. Veltman, Nucl. Phys. B **153**, 365 (1979); L. Jing-Jing, M. Wen-Gan, Z. Ren-You, G. Lei, J. Yi, H. Liang, Phys. Rev. D **75**, 053007 (2007)

[29] G W. T. Giele, E. W. N. Glover, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1980 (1992); W. T. Giele, E. W. Glover and D. A. Kosower, Nucl. Phys. B **403**, 633 (1993)

[30] B. Y. Al-Negashi, T. A. El-Azim, I. A. M. Abdul-Magead, IREPHY **7**, 259 (2013)

[31] T. Hahn, Comput. Phys. Commun. **168**, 78 (2005). arXiv:hep-ph/0404043

[32] A. Denner, S. Dittmaier, Nucl. Phys B **398**, 239 (1993); M. Böhm, S. Dittmaier, Nucl. Phys B **409**, 3 (1993)

[33] W. Beenakker, A. Denner, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **9**, 4837 (1994)